

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,737	02/21/2002	Parthapratim De	I-2-0173.12US	8052
24374	7590 02/13/2006		EXAMINER	
VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C. DEPT. ICC UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			WILSON, ROBERT W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2661	
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/079,737	DE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert W. Wilson	2661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period way reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	I.  the mailing date of this communication.  (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Fe	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 February 2002.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) $igtiim$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 February 2002</u> is/are: a) $igsqcup$ accepted or b) $igtiim$ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document:  2. Certified copies of the priority document:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  PHIRIN SAM  PRIMARY EXAMINER	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/3/06.	6) Other:	atom Application (1 10-102)				

Art Unit: 2661

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to because Figure 1 has element numbers but does not have 1. element names. The examiner recommends adding element names to Figure 1. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re

Application/Control Number: 10/079,737

Art Unit: 2661

Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-10 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 92-106 of copending Application No. 10/052,943. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Referring to claim 1 of application 10/07937; claims 92 & 77 of 10/052,943 teach: a method for use in receiving as well as a CDMA receiver with means. Claims 92 & 77 of application 10/052,943 do not expressly call for: user equipment for receiving with a means.

Claims 92 & 77 of application 10/052,943 teach a method and a CDMA receiver with means. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the method for receiving in a user equipment with a means because a method requires device or means in order to receive and a receiver requires a user in order to be used. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement CDMA receiver with means as user equipment because users are to utilize the receiving equipment.

### In Addition:

Referring to claim 2 of application 10/079, 737,the limitations are taught by claims 93 & 98 of application 10/052,943

Application/Control Number: 10/079,737

Art Unit: 2661

Referring to claim 3 of application 10/0797,737, the limitations are taught by claims 94 & 99 of application 10/052,943

Referring to claim 4 of application 10/0797,737, the limitations are taught by claims 95 & 100 of application 10/052,943.

Referring to claim 5 of application 10/0797,737, the limitations are taught by claims 97 & 101 of application 10/052,943.

Referring to claim 6 of application 10/07937, claim 102 of 10/052,943 teaches: CDMA user equipment for receiving. Claim 102 of application 10/052,943 does not expressly call for: a receiver for receiving. Claim 102 of 10/052,943 teaches: CDMA user equipment for receiving. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement a receiver for receiving based upon CDMA user equipment for receiving because CDMA user equipment for receiving requires a receiver.

## In Addition:

Referring to claim 7 of application 10/079, 737, these limitations are taught by claim 103 of application 10/052,943

Referring to claim 8 of application 10/079, 737, these limitations are taught by claim 104 of application 10/052,943

Referring to claim 9 of application 10/079, 737, these limitations are taught by claim 105 of application 10/052,943

Referring to claim 10 of application 10/079, 737, these limitations are taught by claim 106 of application 10/052,943

Application/Control Number: 10/079,737 Page 5

Art Unit: 2661

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

#### Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert W. Wilson whose telephone number is 571/272-3075. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on 571/272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert W. Wilson

Examiner

Art Unit 2661

RWW 1/12/06

PHIRIN SAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER